NATIONAL GUI DELI NE CLEARI NGHOUSE™ (NGC) GUI DELI NE SYNTHESI S

OTITIS MEDIA WITH EFFUSION

Guidelines

- American Academy of Family Physicians, American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, American Academy of Pediatrics (AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP). <u>Otitis media with effusion</u>. Pediatrics 2004 May; 113(5):1412-29. [172 references]
- 2. Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. (CCHMC). <u>Evidence based clinical practice guideline for medical management of otitis media with effusion in children 2 months to 13 years of age</u>. Cincinnati (OH): Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center; 2004 Oct.; 11 p. [67 references]
- 3. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). <u>Diagnosis and management of childhood otitis media in primary care</u>. <u>A national clinical guideline</u>. Edinburgh (Scotland): Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN); 2003 Feb. 18 p. (SIGN publication; no. 66). [77 references]
- 4. University of Michigan Health System (UMHS). Otitis media. Ann Arbor (MI): University of Michigan Health System; 2002 May. 12 p. [7 references]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF SCOPE AND CONTENT

TABLE 2: COMPARISON OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF OTITIS MEDIA WITH EFFUSION

TABLE 3: BENEFITS AND HARMS

TABLE 4: EVI DENCE RATING SCHEMES AND REFERENCES

GUIDELINE CONTENT COMPARISON

<u>Areas of Agreement</u> Areas of Differences

INTRODUCTION

A direct comparison of the American Academy of Family Physicians, American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, American Academy of Pediatrics (AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP), Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center

(CCHMC), Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), and University of Michigan Health System (UMHS) recommendations for the diagnosis, treatment, and management of otitis media with effusion (OME) is provided in the tables below.

The guidelines differ somewhat in scope. The SIGN and UMHS guidelines address diagnosis and management of both acute otitis media (AOM) and OME. AOM is addressed in a separate synthesis (currently under development). All four guidelines focus on the pediatric population; AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP and CCHMC target children 2 months and older. SIGN does not specify an age range but targets children in general. UMHS focuses primarily on children over 2 months, but also briefly addresses OM in adults and in infants from 0 to 8 weeks. All four guidelines address clinical assessment and treatment of OME (including drug therapy and management of children at risk for hearing, speech, language, and developmental problems), as well as appropriate referral to audiologists, speech-language pathologists, and otolaryngologists. AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, CCHMC, and UMHS also address when to consider insertion of pressure equalizing (PE) tubes, while SIGN explicitly excludes this topic. AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP also addresses research needs related to OME. In formulating their recommendations, CCHMC reviewed the conclusions drawn by AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP.

<u>Table 1</u> compares the scope of each of the guidelines. <u>Table 2</u> compares recommendations for diagnosis, evaluation, and management of OME in children. <u>Table 3</u> compares the potential benefits and harms associated with the implementation of each guideline.

Definitions for the levels of evidence used to support the guideline recommendations are given in <u>Table 4</u>; references supporting selected recommendations of the CCHMC guideline are also provided in this table.

Following the content comparison tables, the areas of agreement and differences among the guidelines are identified.

Abbreviations

- AAFP, American Academy of Family Physicians
- AAOHNS, American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery
- AAP, American Academy of Pediatrics
- AOM, acute otitis media
- CAM, complementary and alternative medicine
- CCHMC, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center
- GP, general practitioner
- MEE, middle ear effusion
- OM, otitis media
- OME, otitis media with effusion
- PE, pressure equalizing, pressure equalization
- SIGN, Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network
- UMHS, University of Michigan Health System

TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF SCOPE AND CONTENT		
	Objective and Scope	
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	To inform clinicians of evidence-based methods to identify, monitor, and manage OME in children aged 2 months through 12 years	
CCHMC (2004)	 To improve the identification of the at-risk child To improve the use of appropriate referral criteria To improve parental involvement in decision-making around the management of OME 	
SIGN (2003)	 To provide recommendations based on current evidence for best practice in the management of AOM and OME To provide evidence about detection, management, referral, and follow-up of children with AOM and OME 	
	Notes	
	 This guideline excludes discussion of surgical management such as the insertion of grommets (PE tubes) and does not address issues beyond childhood years. In addition, the needs of children with genetic or facial abnormalities are not considered. Recommendations for AOM are considered in a separate synthesis. 	
UMHS (2002)	 To limit acute symptoms and suppurative complications caused by OM To decrease the incidence of hearing loss and its adverse effects on the development of speech and language To limit the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria 	
Target Population		
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	 United States Children aged 2 months through 12 years with or without developmental disabilities or underlying conditions that predispose to OME and its sequelae 	
	Note: The guideline may not apply to children more than 12 years old,	

	because OME is uncommon and the natural history is likely to differ from younger children who experience rapid developmental change.		
CCHMC (2004)	 United States Children age 2 months up to 13 years of age who present with signs and symptoms of OME 		
	Note: Children with functioning pressure equalization (PE) tubes in place are excluded.		
SIGN (2003)	ScotlandChildren with AOM or OME		
	Note: The needs of children with genetic or facial abnormalities are not considered.		
UMHS (2002)	 United States Pediatric patients greater than two months old and adults with suspected or confirmed OM (AOM or OME) 		
	Note: The guideline also briefly addresses OM in infants 0 to 8 weeks and children with chronic conditions.		
	Intended Users		
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	Advanced Practice Nurses Nurses Physician Assistants Physicians Speech-Language Pathologists		
CCHMC (2004)	Advanced Practice Nurses Allied Health Personnel Health Care Providers Nurses Patients Physician Assistants Physicians Speech-Language Pathologists		
SIGN (2003)	Advanced Practice Nurses Nurses Patients Physician Assistants Physicians Public Health Departments Social Workers Speech-Language Pathologists		
UMHS	Advanced Practice Nurses		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(2002)	Nurses Pharmacists Physician Assistants Physicians
Inte	erventions And Practices Considered
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	Diagnosis/Evaluation 1. History and physical examination, including documentation of laterality, duration of effusion, and presence and severity of associated symptoms 2. Diagnostic testing: • Pneumatic otoscopy • Tympanometry 3. Risk assessment of children with OME for speech, language, and learning problems, and early intervention Treatment/Management 1. Watchful waiting in children with OME who are not at risk 2. Hearing and language testing as needed 3. Surveillance/re-examination of children who are not at risk at 3- to 6-month intervals 4. Referral to a specialist 5. Surgery, including tympanostomy tube insertion, adenoidectomy, repeat surgery (adenoidectomy plus myringotomy with or without tube insertion) Considered but recommended against: • Population-based screening • Drug therapy (antibiotics, antihistamines, decongestants, and corticosteroids) • Tonsillectomy alone or myringotomy alone for the treatment of OME Considered but no recommendations offered: • Complementary and alternative medicines (CAM) • Allergy management
CCHMC (2004)	Diagnosis/Evaluation 1. History and physical examination, including documentation of laterality, duration of effusion, and presence and severity of associated symptoms

- 2. Diagnostic testing:
 - Pneumatic otoscopy
 - Tympanometry
 - Acoustic reflectometry
- 3. Risk assessment of children with OME for speech, language, and learning problems, and early intervention

Treatment/Management

- 1. Observation without antibiotics
- 2. Analgesia
- 3. Aggressive individualized management of children at risk for developmental difficulties, including early referrals for audiologic evaluation, frequent follow-up, antibiotic therapy, speech/language assessment, PE tubes, and/or other otolaryngological evaluation
- 4. Follow-up evaluation of the otherwise healthy child with OME

Referral

- 1. Referral for audiologic, speech, and language evaluation
- 2. Referral for otolaryngological evaluation
- 3. Referral for evaluation for PE tube insertion
- 4. Appropriate documentation when referring children for evaluation by a specialist

Considered but not specifically recommended:

- Antibiotic therapy (not routinely recommended)
- Systemic steroids, antihistamines, decongestants, and complementary or alternative treatments

Note: The guideline also includes recommendations regarding the natural course of OME and follow-up for unresolved OME, preventable risk factors for OME, and prevention of speech or language delay.

SIGN (2003)

Diagnosis/Evaluation

- 1. History and physical examination
- 2. Diagnostic testing:
 - Otoscopy (with or without tuning fork)
 - Pneumatic otoscopy (not typically used in primary care)
 - Tympanometry
- 3. Audiometry for hearing thresholds and middle ear function

Treatment/Management

- 1. Watchful waiting
- 2. Autoinflation

Note: Surgical management is not considered in this guideline.

Referral

- 1. Referral for audiometry
- 2. Referral to an otolaryngologist

Considered but recommended against:

- Antibiotic treatment
- Decongestants, antihistamines, mucolytics
- Steroids

Considered but no recommendations offered:

Homeopathy

Note: This guideline also addresses diagnosis and treatment of AOM (see related <u>synthesis</u>). Additionally, it includes information for parents, teachers, and caregivers and advice about risk factors.

UHMS (2002)

Diagnosis/Evaluation

- 1. History and physical examination
- 2. Diagnostic testing
 - Otoscopy
 - Pneumatic otoscopy
 - Tympanometry
 - Acoustic reflectometry
 - Tympanocentesis (primarily limited to research settings)

Treatment/Management

- 1. Watchful waiting
- 2. Antibiotic therapy (a single course of high-dose amoxicillin/clavulanate for OME that has been present for 2 to 3 months)

Referral

- 1. Referral for audiologic evaluation
- 2. Referral to otolaryngologist for consideration of ventilation tube placement

Patient Education/Prevention

- 1. Information parents need to know
- 2. Preventable risk factors
- 3. Vaccination (pneumococcal conjugate)
- 4. Environmental exposure (smoke; daycare)
- 5. Pacifier use and xylitol syrup or chewing gum

Note: This guideline also addresses incidence of OM, risk factors for AOM, and diagnosis and management of AOM in children (see related <u>synthesis</u>). It also includes recommendations regarding patient education and prevention.

TABLE 2: COMPARISON OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF OTITIS MEDIA WITH EFFUSION

TREATMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF OTTITS MEDIA WITH EITOSION	
Definition Of OME	
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	OME is defined as the presence of fluid in the middle ear without signs or symptoms of acute ear infection.
CCHMC (2004)	OME is defined as the presence of fluid in the middle ear without signs or symptoms of acute otitis media. More specifically: • OME: MEE without signs or symptoms of infection
	 OME: MEE without signs or symptoms of infection Chronic OME: OME with duration more than 3 months
SIGN (2003)	OME is defined as inflammation of the middle ear, accompanied by the accumulation of fluid in the middle ear cleft without the symptoms and signs of acute inflammation. OME is often asymptomatic and earache is relatively uncommon.
UMHS (2002)	OME: MEE without symptoms of AOM (serous otitis media) with or without evidence of inflammation
Diagnosis And Evaluation	
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	 Pneumatic Otoscopy: Clinicians should use pneumatic otoscopy as the primary diagnostic method for OME, and OME should be distinguished from AOM. (This is a strong recommendation based on systematic review of cohort studies and the

preponderance of benefit over harm). Aggregate evidence quality: A, diagnostic studies in relevant populations Policy level: strong recommendation Non-pneumatic otoscopy is not advised for primary diagnosis. Tympanometry: Tympanometry can be used to confirm the diagnosis of OME. (This option is based on cohort studies and a balance of benefit and harm.) Aggregate evidence quality: B, diagnostic studies with minor limitations Policy level: option Documentation: Clinicians should document the laterality, duration of effusion, and presence and severity of associated symptoms at each assessment of the child with OME. (This recommendation is based on observational studies and strong preponderance of benefit over harm.) Aggregate evidence quality: C, observational studies Policy level: recommendation **CCHMC** General (2004)Signs and symptoms of OME are often only identified upon follow-up to AOM or at an unrelated office visit. History and Physical Examination It is recommended that a focused history and physical of the child with OME includes assessment and documentation of: Intermittent ear pain, fullness, or popping Hearing/speech concerns (Roberts, Rosenfeld, & Zeisel, 2004 [M]) Balance (Golz, Angel-Yeger, & Parush, 1998 [C]; Casselbrant et al., 1995 [C]) Bilaterality Duration of effusion Recurrent AOM Presence of any craniofacial anomalies (AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, 2004 [S])

 It is recommended that OME be diagnosed by the presence of MEE, as assessed by pneumatic otoscopy, without signs and symptoms of acute inflammation (AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, 2004 [S]).

Note: Adequate illumination for OME diagnosis requires appropriate maintenance of pneumatic otoscopes in the office, including changing the light bulb and battery (Barriga, Schwartz, & Hayden, 1986 [O]).

It is recommended that tympanometry may be used to enhance accuracy when diagnosing OME (Karma et al., 1989 [D]; Shekelle et al., 2003 [S]; Brookhouser, 1998 [S]; Jones and Kaleida, 2003 [O]; Pichichero, 2002 [O]; Pichichero & Poole, 2001 [O]).

Note: Acoustic reflectometry is not often used nor readily available in the Cincinnati area, though the procedure is acceptable for determining the presence of MEE (Block et al., 1999 [C]; Barnett et al., 1998 [C]; Block et al., 1998 [C]; Kimball, 1998 [S]).

SIGN (2003)

Presentation Patterns For Children with OME

B - Healthcare professionals should have an increased awareness of the possibility of the presence of OME in asymptomatic children. The following groups of children are at particular risk:

- Those in day care
- Those with older siblings
- Those with parents who smoke
- Those who present with hearing or behavioural problems

Diagnosis of OME

In many studies OME is diagnosed if there is MEE on pneumatic otoscopy with no signs of acute inflammation. In practice, pneumatic otoscopy is not used in primary care. No evidence based studies were identified concerning the most commonly used primary care diagnostic tool--otoscopy (with or without tuning fork testing).

Evidence of MEE consists of the presence of either:

- At least two tympanic membrane abnormalities (abnormal colour such as yellow, amber, or blue; opacification other than due to scarring; and decreased or absent mobility) and/or
- Otoscopy typically showing a retracted/concave tympanic membrane with a colour change (typically yellow or amber). Air bubbles or an air/fluid level may be present and, while not typical, fullness or bulging may be visualized. Pneumo-otoscopy will demonstrate reduced or absent mobility.

The main symptom associated with OME is hearing loss (see Table 1 in the original guideline document for additional diagnostic features of OME compared to AOM). However this hearing loss is often not identified in infants and young children.

 In most situations, the GP will have to depend on history and otoscopy for diagnosing otitis media. (Point of best clinical practice)

Tympanometry

Tympanometry is a very useful tool for diagnosis but is rarely used in the primary care setting in the United Kingdom.

 Children who require hearing loss assessment should be referred to an audiologist. (Point of best clinical practice)

UMHS (2002)

- Distinguish between AOM and OME in making therapeutic decisions. (Refer to Table 1 in original quideline document for details.)
- The presence of MEE should be determined by the combined use of otoscopy, pneumatic otoscopy, and tympanometry when necessary [D].

Note: In the narrative discussion, the guideline developer also notes that tympanocentesis is the gold standard for demonstrating the presence of middle ear fluid and for identifying specific pathogens. Tympanocentesis is limited to research purposes in most centers.

Children At Risk For Speech, Language, Or Learning Problems

AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)

Child at Risk: Clinicians should distinguish the child with OME who is at risk for speech, language, or learning problems from other children with OME and should evaluate hearing, speech, language, and need for intervention more promptly.

(This recommendation is based on case series, the preponderance of benefit over harm, and ethical limitations in studying children with OME who are at risk.) Aggregate evidence quality: C, observational studies of children at risk; D, expert opinion on the ability of prompt assessment and management to alter outcomes Policy level: recommendation **CCHMC** It is recommended that the child with OME who is at risk (2004)for developmental difficulties be identified early. These children include those with sensory, physical, cognitive, or behavioral factors listed below (AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, 2004 [S]). Note: Children with Down syndrome (Shott, Joseph, & Heithaus, 2001 [C]; Whiteman, Simpson, & Compton, 1986 [C]), cranial facial dysostosis (Corey, Caldarelli, & Gould, 1987 [C]), cleft palate (Paradise & Bluestone, 1974 [C]), and autism (Rosenhall et al., 1999 [C]) have been shown to be at higher risk for OME and/or its associated outcomes of developmental delay in hearing, speech, or language. Risk Factors for Developmental Difficulties (AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, 2004 [S]) Permanent hearing loss independent of OME Suspected or diagnosed speech and language delay or disorder Autism-spectrum disorder and other pervasive developmental disorders Syndromes (e.g., Down) or craniofacial disorders that include cognitive, speech, and language delays Blindness or uncorrectable visual impairment Cleft palate with or without associated syndrome Developmental delay SIGN No specific recommendation offered. (2003)However in the narrative discussion pertaining to history taking, the guideline developer notes that a relevant element to be elicited in the history includes information about disability in terms of hearing difficulty, together with information on social interaction, behaviour, function in the educational setting and speech and language development.

UMHS (2002)

No specific recommendation offered.

However in the narrative discussion pertaining to management options for OME, the guideline developer notes that if a child exhibits significant speech delay or behavioral disruption, or suffers from some other cause of sensory or cognitive dysfunction, early tube placement is probably appropriate. The developer further notes that children with anatomic abnormalities such as bifid uvula, cleft palate, or Down Syndrome are less likely to resolve their MEE spontaneously and should be referred for early intervention

Non-Surgical Treatment And Management

AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)

 Watchful Waiting: Clinicians should manage the child with OME who is not at risk with watchful waiting for 3 months from the date of effusion onset (if known) or diagnosis (if onset is unknown). (This recommendation is based on systematic review of cohort studies and the preponderance of benefit over harm.)

Aggregate evidence quality: B, systematic review of cohort studies

Policy level: recommendation

 Medication: Antihistamines and decongestants are ineffective for OME and are not recommended for treatment; antimicrobials and corticosteroids do not have long-term efficacy and are not recommended for routine management.

(This recommendation is based on systematic review of randomized, controlled trials and the preponderance of harm over benefit.)

Aggregate evidence quality: A, systematic review of well-designed, randomized, controlled trials Policy level: recommendation against

 Surveillance: Children with persistent OME who are not at risk should be reexamined at 3- to 6-month intervals until the effusion is no longer present, significant hearing loss is identified, or structural abnormalities of the eardrum or middle ear are suspected.

(This recommendation is based on randomized, controlled trials and observational studies with a preponderance of benefit over harm.)

Aggregate evidence quality: C, observational studies and some randomized trials Policy level: recommendation CAM: No recommendation is made regarding CAM as a treatment for OME. (There is no recommendation based on lack of scientific evidence documenting efficacy and an uncertain balance of harm and benefit.) Aggregate evidence quality: D, case series without controls Policy level: no recommendation Allergy Management: No recommendation is made regarding allergy management as a treatment for OME. (There is no recommendation based on insufficient evidence of therapeutic efficacy or a causal relationship between allergy and OME.) Aggregate evidence quality: D, case series without controls Policy level: no recommendation **CCHMC** The foundation of OME management is follow-up and (2004)monitoring of the presence or resolution of effusion. This monitoring is clinically important for the early identification of the child at risk for developmental difficulties and for the appropriate timing for referral of the child with persistent OME. It is recommended that observation without antibiotics be the first line management option for at least 3 months for the child with OME (AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, 2004 [S]). It is recommended that all children with OME who have a positive assessment for pain be treated with an appropriate analgesic, though ear pain in OME is not common (AAP Subcommittee on Management of Acute Otitis Media, 2004 [S]: The assessment and management of acute pain, 2001 [S]). It is recommended, for the otherwise healthy child with persistent OME, that no medication be given (Williamson, 2002 [S]). It is recommended that the child with OME who is at risk for developmental difficulties (see table entitled "Risk Factors for Developmental Difficulties" under "Children at Risk...," above) be aggressively managed as appropriate to his/her condition. This

individualized management may include:

- Earlier referral for audiologic evaluation (Friel-Patti & Finitzo, 1990 [C]; Carney & Moeller, 1998 [S])
- Shorter intervals between visits
- Antibiotic therapy
- Referral for speech/language assessment
- Referral for PE tubes, and/or
- Referral for other otolaryngological evaluation

Note: Preventive strategies may be helpful to children from special populations, from poor socioeconomic environments, or with development delays who are at risk for language and learning delay and who are experiencing hearing loss due to OME (Roberts et al., 2003 [M], 1998 [C], 1995 [C]; Roberts, Burchinel, & Zeisel, 2002 [C]). See Table 3 in the original guideline document.

- It is recommended that the otherwise healthy child with OME be evaluated at 1 to 2 months after diagnosis and then again at 3 months after diagnosis, or until either spontaneous, medical, or surgical resolution of the effusion is achieved or until basis for a referral is identified (Paradise et al., "Otitis media," 2003 [A], "Early versus delayed insertion," 2003 [A], 2001 [A]; AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, 2004 [S]; Paradise, 2002 [X]).
- It is not recommended that other therapies be used in the treatment of OME.

Systemic steroids, antihistamines, decongestants, and complementary or alternative treatments have not been documented to be efficacious in the treatment of OME, and some herbal preparations may have harmful side effects (Ernst, 2003 [M]; Mandel et al., 1987 [A]; Harrison, Fixsen, & Vickers, 1999 [B]; Fallon, 1997 [C]; Williamson, 2002 [S]; Miller et al., 2000 [S]).

Note: It is recognized that use of CAM is common and its use is often not reported to the primary care physician (Eisenberg et al., 1998 [O]; Spigelblatt et al., 1994 [O]). The physician may take the OME visit as an opportunity to begin a respectful discussion regarding the safety and efficacy of CAM with families who report its use.

SIGN (2003)

D - Children with OME should not be treated with antibiotics.

B - Decongestants, antihistamines, or mucolytics should

	not be used in the management of OME.
	B - The use of either topical or systemic steroid therapy is not recommended in the management of children with OME.
	D - Autoinflation may be of benefit in the management of some children with OME.
	Homeopathy. There is no evidence available to make any recommendations regarding the role of homeopathy in the management of OME.
	A - Children under three years of age with persistent bilateral OME and hearing loss of <25 dB, but no speech and language, development, or behavioural problems, can be safely managed with watchful waiting. If watchful waiting is being considered, the child should undergo audiometry to exclude a more serious degree of hearing loss.
UMHS (2002)	 Antibiotic therapy can be deferred for many asymptomatic patients, and for most cases of OME [D]. Children with documented OME should be followed monthly to document the persistence of MEE until clear. A single course of high-dose amoxicillin/clavulanate is appropriate for OME that has been present 2 to 3 months. Systemic corticosteroid therapy significantly improves the short-term resolution of OME; however, such therapy has no long-term impact on MEE and is, therefore, not recommended. In individuals with history, family history, or physical findings of atopy, e.g., allergic rhinitis, shiners, chronic nasal obstruction, asthma, or eczema, an allergy evaluation might be considered. Empiric antihistamine/decongestant therapy for OME per se is ineffective and not recommended.
Hearing Testing	
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	Hearing and Language: Hearing testing is recommended when OME persists for 3 months or longer or at any time that language delay, learning problems, or a significant hearing loss is suspected in a child with OME; language testing should be conducted for children with hearing loss.

	(This recommendation is based on cohort studies and the preponderance of benefit over risk.) Aggregate evidence quality: B, diagnostic studies with minor limitations; C, observational studies Policy level: recommendation
CCHMC (2004)	It is recommended that a child be referred for audiologic evaluation (see Table 4 in the original guideline document):
	 If OME persists for at least 3 months If concerns are noted for hearing, speech, or language by parents, teachers, or healthcare providers, or 3 months after a prior audiologic evaluation in a child being observed with OME
	(Johnston et al., 2004 [A]; Brody et al., 1999 [C]; Rosenfeld, Goldsmith, & Madell, 1998 [C]; AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, 2004 [S]; Local Expert Consensus [E])
SIGN (2003)	A - Children under three years of age with persistent bilateral OME and hearing loss of <25 dB, but no speech and language, development, or behavioural problems, can be safely managed with watchful waiting. If watchful waiting is being considered, the child should undergo audiometry to exclude a more serious degree of hearing loss.
UMHS (2002)	 Children with documented OME should be followed monthly to document the persistence of MEE until clear. In many cases it is difficult to know how long OME has been present. Thus, children with OME and documented language delays, school or behavior problems, and/or chronic medical conditions, should be referred promptly for audiologic evaluation regardless of the known duration of OME. For children with uncomplicated OME, referral [for audiologic evaluation] is appropriate when effusion has been present for 3 to 4 months.
Referral To Subspecialists	
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	Referral: When children with OME are referred by the primary care clinician for evaluation by an otolaryngologist, audiologist, or speech-language pathologist, the referring clinician should document

the effusion duration and specific reason for referral (evaluation, surgery) and provide additional relevant information such as history of AOM and developmental status of the child. (This option is based on panel consensus and a preponderance of benefit over harm.)

Aggregate evidence quality: C, observational studies Policy level: option

See the original guideline document for additional detail about the minimum information that should be conveyed when making a referral.

CCHMC (2004)

- It is recommended that a child be referred for audiologic evaluation (see Table 4 in the original guideline document):
 - If OME persists for at least 3 months
 - If concerns are noted for hearing, speech, or language by parents, teachers, or healthcare providers, or
 - 3 months after a prior audiologic evaluation in a child being observed with OME

(Johnston et al., 2004 [A]; Brody et al., 1999 [C]; Rosenfeld, Goldsmith, & Madell, 1998 [C]; AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, 2004 [S]; Local Expert Consensus [E])

- It is recommended that a child with MEE of at least 3 months duration be referred for evaluation for PE tube insertion for:
 - Recurrent AOM (history of 6 episodes over a 12 month period taking into account the severity of episodes, clustering of episodes, and persistence of OME)
 - Moderate hearing loss (see Table 4 in the original guideline document)
 - Anatomic changes developing secondary to OME or AOM
 - Clinical symptoms of severe retraction pockets in the tympanic membrane; otalgia; tinnitus; or if neurologic problems related to balance are evident
 - Complications from AOM or chronic OME (such as mastoiditis, facial nerve paralysis, disturbance in balance, or meningitis) (Paradise et al., 2001 [A]; Engel-Yeger, Golz, & Parush, 2004 [C]; Paradise, 2002 [X]).
- It is recommended that a child with MEE for at least 3 months duration with mild hearing loss (see Table

4 in the original guideline document) be considered for evaluation for PE tube insertion based upon risk factors (Teele, Klein, & Rosner, 1989 [C]) which may include: Developmental disorders (Shott, Joseph, & Heithaus, 2001 [C]) Previous PE tubes Sibling history of ear infection Male gender Fall and winter season (Gordon, Grunstein, & Burton, 2004 [C]) Note: The decision to refer earlier or later for evaluation for PE tube insertion rests on the advantages of avoiding surgery due to resolution of OME during the period of delay versus the added advantage the surgery provides by being performed sooner rather than later in the cases which do not resolve. The value placed on these uncertain variables by clinicians, combined with the patient biology and family preferences may result in different decisions for different patient: clinician dyads. It is recommended that a child with signs of speech delay be referred for a speech and language evaluation (AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, 2004 [S]). It is recommended that appropriate and complete documentation, including what is expected from the specialist, accompany referrals to otolaryngologist, audiologist, or speech pathologist (Kuyvenhoven & De Melker, 1990 [D]; AAP Subcommittee on Management of Acute Otitis Media, 2004 [S]). SIGN A - Children under three years of age with persistent (2003)bilateral OME and hearing loss of <25 dB, but no speech and language, development or behavioural problems, can be safely managed with watchful waiting. If watchful waiting is being considered, the child should undergo audiometry to exclude a more serious degree of hearing loss. B - Children with persistent bilateral OME who are over three years of age or who have speech, language, developmental, or behavioural problems should be referred to an otolaryngologist. **UMHS** In many cases it is difficult to know how long OME (2002)has been present. Thus, children with OME and documented language delays, school or behavior

- problems, and/or chronic medical conditions, should be referred promptly for audiologic evaluation regardless of the known duration of OME.
- If conductive hearing loss is found, otolaryngology referral is appropriate to consider management options.
- For children with uncomplicated OME, referral [for audiologic evaluation] is appropriate when effusion has been present for 3 to 4 months.
- Children with anatomic abnormalities such as a bifid uvula, cleft palate, or Down syndrome are less likely to resolve their MEE spontaneously and should also be referred for early intervention [with PE tube insertion].
- Any child with apparent tympanic membrane atelectasis, retraction pockets, or middle ear masses should be referred for ear, nose, and throat (ENT) evaluation without following for persistent effusion.
- Certainly, any child with MEE persisting more than a year should be referred for possible ventilation tube placement.

Possible criteria for subspecialty (otolaryngology, allergy, infectious disease) referral or consultation are listed in Table 6 in the original guideline document. In all cases, referral should be accompanied by a note from the primary care physician indicating either a specific question (i.e., does this child need tubes?) or indicating any preference for the management of the patient. It is acceptable to refer a patient for otolaryngologic evaluation for an opinion or for parental reassurance, even if the primary care physician does not believe that tympanostomy tubes are immediately necessary. Audiologic evaluation is also helpful for decision making and should be obtained together with otolaryngology referral for OME.

Clinical situations meriting consideration of subspecialty consultation or referral in chronic OME: (Note: In many cases, primary care physicians will be able to manage these conditions without referral.)

- Suspicion of hearing loss or history of language delay (audiology first)
- Persistent MEE for 3 to 4 months
- Persistent tympanic membrane retraction or atelectasis
- Persistent abnormal tympanogram or audiogram
- All children with cleft palate, Down syndrome, or craniofacial malformations should be referred early

	rather than late.
Tympanostomy (PE) Tube Placement	
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	 When a child becomes a surgical candidate, tympanostomy tube insertion is the preferred initial procedure; adenoidectomy should not be performed unless a distinct indication exists (nasal obstruction, chronic adenoiditis). Repeat surgery consists of adenoidectomy plus myringotomy, with or without tube insertion. Tonsillectomy alone or myringotomy alone should not be used to treat OME.
	Surgical candidacy for OME largely depends on hearing status, associated symptoms, the child's developmental risk (see Table 3 in the original guideline document), and the anticipated chance of timely spontaneous resolution of the effusion. Candidates for surgery include children with OME lasting 4 months or longer with persistent hearing loss or other signs and symptoms, recurrent or persistent OME in children at risk regardless of hearing status, and OME and structural damage to the tympanic membrane or middle ear. Ultimately, the recommendation for surgery must be individualized based on consensus between the primary care physician, otolaryngologist, and parent or caregiver that a particular child would benefit from intervention. Children with OME of any duration who are at risk are candidates for surgery.
CCHMC (2004)	Evaluation for placement of PE tubes is the most common reason children with OME are referred to an otolaryngologist. The discussion of alternatives, risks, benefits, and expected outcomes associated with tube placement begins with the primary care clinician and is continued with the otolaryngologist if the patient is referred.
	 It is recommended that the child with OME who is at risk for developmental difficulties (see table entitled "Risk Factors for Developmental Difficulties" under "Diagnosis and Evaluation," above) be aggressively managed as appropriate to his/her condition. This individualized management may include: Earlier referral for audiologic evaluation (Friel-Patti & Finitzo, 1990 [C]; Carney & Moeller, 1998 [S]) Shorter intervals between visits Antibiotic therapy Referral for speech/language assessment

- Referral for PE tubes, and/or
- Referral for other otolaryngological evaluation
- It is recommended that an introduction and a discussion be initiated by the primary care clinician with the parents of children with documented OME of the procedure, alternatives, risks, benefits, and expected outcomes of PE tube insertion being considered for otolaryngological referral (Local Expert Consensus [E]).

Note: It has been shown that insertion of tympanostomy tubes will reduce the total amount of time with effusions that a child will experience, but has not been shown to affect important speech/language development, behavior, or cognitive outcomes up to 4 years of age. Furthermore, prompt insertion of PE tubes (compared to delaying insertion 6 to 9 months) in otherwise healthy children with persistent (>3 months) OME in the first 3 years of life results in increased tympanic membrane (TM) abnormalities compared to children selectively managed; however, this finding is of questionable clinical significance (Johnston et al., 2004 [A]; Paradise et al, 2001 [A]).

- It is recommended that a child with MEE of at least 3 months duration be referred for evaluation for PE tube insertion for:
 - Recurrent AOM (history of 6 episodes over a 12 month period taking into account the severity of episodes, clustering of episodes, and persistence of OME)
 - Moderate hearing loss (see Table 4 in the original guideline document)
 - Anatomic changes developing secondary to OME or AOM
 - Clinical symptoms of severe retraction pockets in the tympanic membrane; otalgia; tinnitus; or if neurologic problems related to balance are evident
 - Complications from AOM or chronic OME (such as mastoiditis, facial nerve paralysis, disturbance in balance, or meningitis) (Paradise et al., 2001 [A]; Engel-Yeger, Golz, & Parush, 2004 [C]; Paradise, 2002 [X]).
- It is recommended that a child with MEE for at least 3 months duration with mild hearing loss (see table entitled "Hearing Loss Definitions and Expected Auditory Behaviors in Children with OME" in original guideline) be considered for evaluation for PE tube insertion based upon risk factors (Teele, Klein, &

Rosner, 1989 [C]) which may include: Developmental disorders (Shott, Joseph, & Heithaus, 2001 [C]) Previous PE tubes Sibling history of ear infection Male gender Fall and winter season (Gordon, Grunstein, & Burton, 2004 [C]) Note: The decision to refer earlier or later for evaluation for PE tube insertion rests on the advantages of avoiding surgery due to resolution of OME during the period of delay versus the added advantage the surgery provides by being performed sooner rather than later in the cases which do not resolve. The value placed on these uncertain variables by clinicians, combined with the patient biology and family preferences may result in different decisions for different patient: clinician dyads. SIGN No recommendations offered. Discussion of surgical (2003)management is excluded from this guideline. **UMHS** If a child is exhibiting significant speech delay or behavioral disruption or suffers from some other (2002)cause of sensory or cognitive dysfunction, early tube placement is probably appropriate. Children with anatomic abnormalities such as a bifid uvula, cleft palate, or Down syndrome are less likely to resolve their MEE spontaneously and should also be referred for early intervention. In order to serve those patients who will eventually need tubes in a timely manner, it is appropriate to refer any child with a 3-4 month history of documented OME [for evaluation for PE tube insertion]. Any child with MEE persisting more than a year should be referred for possible ventilation tube placement. NGC Note: See also the Table 6, "Factors Influencing Surgical Decisions for OME and Recurrent AOM," and the section entitled "Primary care follow-up and management of tubes" in original guideline document.

TABLE 3: BENEFITS AND HARMS	
Benefits	

AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	 Pneumatic Otoscopy: improved diagnostic accuracy; inexpensive equipment Tympanometry: increased diagnostic accuracy beyond pneumatic otoscopy; documentation Screening: potentially improved developmental outcomes, which have not been demonstrated in the best current evidence Documentation: defines severity, duration has prognostic value, facilitates future communication with other clinicians, supports appropriate timing of intervention, and, if consistently unilateral, may identify a problem with specific ear other than OME (e.g., retraction pocket or cholesteatoma). Child at Risk: optimizing conditions for hearing, speech, and language; enabling children with special needs to reach their potential; avoiding limitations on the benefits of educational interventions because of hearing problems from OME. Watchful Waiting: avoid unnecessary interventions, take advantage of favorable natural history, and avoid unnecessary referrals and evaluations Medication: avoid side effects and reduce cost by not administering medications; avoid delays in definitive therapy caused by short-term improvement then relapse Hearing and Language: to detect hearing loss and language delay and identify strategies or interventions to improve developmental outcomes Surveillance: avoiding interventions that do not improve outcomes. Referrals: better communication and improved decision-making Surgery: improved hearing, reduced prevalence of OME, reduced incidence of AOM, and less need for additional tube insertion (after adenoidectomy) Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM): not established
CCHMC (2004)	 Effective medical management of OME in children 2 months to 13 years of age Improved identification of the at-risk child Improved use of appropriate referral criteria Improved parental involvement in decision-making around the management of OME
SIGN (2003)	Improved diagnosis of OMEImproved management of OME

	Improved use of appropriate referral criteria
UMHS (2002)	 Appropriate use of diagnostic techniques and management options for children and adults with OM Decreased incidence of hearing loss and resulting speech and language delays Decreased development of antibiotic resistance
	Harms
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	 Pneumatic Otoscopy: cost of training clinicians in pneumatic otoscopy Tympanometry: acquisition cost, administrative burden, and recalibration Screening: inaccurate diagnosis (false-positive or false-negative), overtreating self-limited disease, parental anxiety, cost of screening, and/or unnecessary treatment Documentation: administrative burden Child at Risk: cost, time, and specific risks of medications or surgery Watchful Waiting: delays in therapy for OME that will not resolve with observation; prolongation of hearing loss Medication: adverse effects of specific medications: side effects of antihistamines and decongestants include insomnia, hyperactivity, drowsiness, behavioral change, and blood-pressure variability; side effects of antimicrobials may include rashes, vomiting, diarrhea, allergic reactions, alteration of the child's nasopharyngeal flora, societal impact of antimicrobial therapy on bacterial resistance and transmission of resistant pathogens, and cost; oral steroids can produce behavioral changes, increased appetite, weight gain, adrenal suppression, fatal varicella infection, and avascular necrosis of the femoral head Hearing and Language: parental anxiety, direct and indirect costs of assessment, and/or false-positive results Surveillance: allowing structural abnormalities to develop in the tympanic membrane, underestimating the impact of hearing loss on a child, and/or falling to detect significant signs or symptoms that require intervention Referrals: confidentiality concerns, administrative burden, and/or increased parent or caregiver anxiety Surgery: risks of anesthesia and specific surgical

	 procedures; sequelae of tympanostomy tubes CAM: potentially significant depending on the intervention Allergy Management: adverse effects and cost of medication, physician evaluation, elimination diets, and desensitization
CCHMC (2004)	None stated
SIGN (2003)	None stated
UMHS (2002)	The use of xylitol-containing chewing gum should be balanced with the risk of choking, especially in younger children.

TABLE 4: EVI DENCE RATING SCHEMES AND REFERENCES	
AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP (2004)	RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE
	Evidence Quality for Grades of Evidence
	Grade A: Well-designed, randomized, controlled trials or diagnostic studies performed on a population similar to the guideline's target population
	Grade B: Randomized, controlled trials or diagnostic studies with minor limitations; overwhelmingly consistent evidence from observational studies
	Grade C: Observational studies (case-control and cohort design)
	Grade D: Expert opinion, case reports, or reasoning from first principles (bench research or animal studies)
	RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS
	Guideline Definitions for Evidence-Based Statements

Strong Recommendation: A strong recommendation means that the subcommittee believes that the benefits of the recommended approach clearly exceed the harms (or that the harms clearly exceed the benefits in the case of a strong negative recommendation) and that the quality of the supporting evidence is excellent (grade A or B). In some clearly identified circumstances, strong recommendations may be made based on lesser evidence when high-quality evidence is impossible to obtain and the anticipated benefits strongly outweigh the harms. Implication: Clinicians should follow a strong recommendation unless a clear and compelling rationale for an alternative approach is present.

Recommendation: A recommendation means that the subcommittee believes that the benefits exceed the harms (or that the harms exceed the benefits in the case of a negative recommendation), but the quality of evidence is not as strong (grade B or C). In some clearly identified circumstances, recommendations may be made based on lesser evidence when high-quality evidence is impossible to obtain and the anticipated benefits outweigh the harms. Implication: Clinicians also should generally follow a recommendation but should remain alert to new information and sensitive to patient preferences.

Option: An option means that either the quality of evidence that exists is suspect (grade D) or that well-done studies (grade A, B, or C)* show little clear advantage to one approach versus another. Implication: Clinicians should be flexible in their decision-making regarding appropriate practice, although they may set boundaries on alternatives; patient preference should have a substantial influencing role.

No Recommendation: No recommendation means that there is both a lack of pertinent evidence (grade D) and an unclear balance between benefits and harms. Implication: Clinicians should feel little constraint in their decision-making and be alert to new published evidence that clarifies the balance of benefit versus harm; patient preference should have a substantial influencing role.

CCHMC (2004)

Evidence Based Grading Scale:

- A: Randomized controlled trial: large sample
- B: Randomized controlled trial: small sample
- C: Prospective trial or large case series
- D: Retrospective analysis
- E: Expert opinion or consensus

F: Basic laboratory research

S: Review article

M: Meta-analysis

Q: Decision analysis

L: Legal requirement

O: Other evidence

X: No evidence

References Supporting the Recommendations

American Academy of Family Physicians, American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, American Academy of Pediatrics Subcommittee on Otitis Media with Effusion. Otitis media with effusion. Pediatrics 2004 May; 113(5):1412-29. [172 references] PubMed

American Academy of Pediatrics Subcommittee on Management of Acute Otitis Media. Diagnosis and management of acute otitis media. Pediatrics 2004 May; 113(5):1451-65. PubMed

Barnett ED, Klein JO, Hawkins KA, Cabral HJ, Kenna M, Healy G. Comparison of spectral gradient acoustic reflectometry and other diagnostic techniques for detection of middle ear effusion in children with middle ear disease. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998 Jun; 17(6):556-9; discussion 580. PubMed

Barriga F, Schwartz RH, Hayden GF. Adequate illumination for otoscopy. Variations due to power source, bulb, and head and speculum design. Am J Dis Child 1986 Dec;140(12):1237-40. PubMed

Block SL, Mandel E, McLinn S, Pichichero ME, Bernstein S, Kimball S, Kozikowski J. Spectral gradient acoustic reflectometry for the detection of middle ear effusion by pediatricians and parents. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998 Jun; 17(6): 560-4; discussion 580. PubMed

Block SL, Pichichero ME, McLinn S, Aronovitz G, Kimball S. Spectral gradient acoustic reflectometry: detection of middle ear effusion in suppurative acute otitis media. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1999 Aug; 18(8):741-4. PubMed

Brody R, Rosenfeld RM, Goldsmith AJ, Madell JR. Parents cannot detect mild hearing loss in children. First place--Resident Clinical Science Award 1998. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1999 Dec; 121(6):681-6. PubMed

Brookhouser PE. Use of tympanometry in office practice

for diagnosis of otitis media. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998 Jun; 17(6): 544-51; discussion 580. PubMed

Carney AE, Moeller MP. Treatment efficacy: hearing loss in children. J Speech Lang Hear Res 1998 Feb; 41(1): S61-84. [224 references] PubMed

Casselbrant ML, Furman JM, Rubenstein E, Mandel EM. Effect of otitis media on the vestibular system in children. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1995 Aug; 104(8):620-4. PubMed

Corey JP, Caldarelli DD, Gould HJ. Otopathology in cranial facial dysostosis. Am J Otol 1987 Jan; 8(1):14-7. PubMed

Eisenberg DM, Davis RB, Ettner SL, Appel S, Wilkey S, Van Rompay M, Kessler RC. Trends in alternative medicine use in the United States, 1990-1997: results of a follow-up national survey. JAMA 1998 Nov 11;280(18):1569-75. PubMed

Engel-Yeger B, Golz A, Parush S. Impact of middle ear effusion on balance performance in children. Disabil Rehabil 2004 Jan 21;26(2):97-102. PubMed

Ernst E. Serious adverse effects of unconventional therapies for children and adolescents: a systematic review of recent evidence. Eur J Pediatr 2003 Feb; 162(2): 72-80. [79 references] PubMed

Fallon JM. The role of chiropractic adjustment in the care and treatment of 332 children with otitis media. 1997; 2:167-83.

Friel-Patti S, Finitzo T. Language learning in a prospective study of otitis media with effusion in the first two years of life. J Speech Hear Res 1990 Mar; 33(1):188-94. PubMed

Golz A, Angel-Yeger B, Parush S. Evaluation of balance disturbances in children with middle ear effusion. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 1998 Feb; 43(1):21-6. PubMed

Gordon MA, Grunstein E, Burton WB. The effect of the season on otitis media with effusion resolution rates in the New York Metropolitan area. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2004 Feb; 68(2):191-5. PubMed

Harrison H, Fixsen A, Vickers A. A randomized

comparison of homoeopathic and standard care for the treatment of glue ear in children. Complement Ther Med 1999 Sep; 7(3): 132-5. <u>PubMed</u>

Johnston LC, Feldman HM, Paradise JL, Bernard BS, Colborn DK, Casselbrant ML, Janosky JE. Tympanic membrane abnormalities and hearing levels at the ages of 5 and 6 years in relation to persistent otitis media and tympanostomy tube insertion in the first 3 years of life: a prospective study incorporating a randomized clinical trial. Pediatrics 2004 Jul; 114(1):e58-67. PubMed

Jones WS, Kaleida PH. How helpful is pneumatic otoscopy in improving diagnostic accuracy. Pediatrics 2003 Sep; 112(3 Pt 1):510-3. PubMed

Karma PH, Penttila MA, Sipila MM, Kataja MJ. Otoscopic diagnosis of middle ear effusion in acute and non-acute otitis media. I. The value of different otoscopic findings. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 1989 Feb; 17(1): 37-49. PubMed

Kimball S. Acoustic reflectometry: spectral gradient analysis for improved detection of middle ear effusion in children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1998 Jun; 17(6):552-5; discussion 580. PubMed

Kuyvenhoven MM, De Melker RA. Referrals to specialists. An exploratory investigation of referrals by 13 General Practitioners to medical and surgical departments. Scand J Prim Health Care Suppl 1990 Mar; 8(1):53-7. PubMed

Mandel EM, Rockette HE, Bluestone CD, Paradise JL, Nozza RJ. Efficacy of amoxicillin with and without decongestant-antihistamine for otitis media with effusion in children. Results of a double-blind, randomized trial. N Engl J Med 1987 Feb 19;316(8):432-7. PubMed

Miller LG, Hume A, Harris IM, Jackson EA, Kanmaz TJ, Cauffield JS, Chin TW, Knell M. White paper on herbal products. American College of Clinical Pharmacy. Pharmacotherapy 2000 Jul; 20(7):877-91. [66 references] PubMed

Paradise JL, Bluestone CD. Early treatment of the universal otitis media of infants with cleft palate. Pediatrics 1974 Jan; 53(1): 48-54. <u>PubMed</u>

Paradise JL, Dollaghan CA, Campbell TF, Feldman HM, Bernard BS, Colborn DK, Rockette HE, Janosky JE,

Pitcairn DL, Kurs-Lasky M, Sabo DL, Smith CG. Otitis media and tympanostomy tube insertion during the first three years of life: developmental outcomes at the age of four years. Pediatrics 2003 Aug; 112(2): 265-77. PubMed

Paradise JL, Feldman HM, Campbell TF, Dollaghan CA, Colborn DK, Bernard BS, Rockette HE, Janosky JE, Pitcairn DL, Sabo DL, Kurs-Lasky M, Smith CG. Early versus delayed insertion of tympanostomy tubes for persistent otitis media: developmental outcomes at the age of three years in relation to prerandomization illness patterns and hearing levels. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2003 Apr; 22(4): 309-14. PubMed

Paradise JL, Feldman HM, Campbell TF, Dollaghan CA, Colborn DK, Bernard BS, Rockette HE, Janosky JE, Pitcairn DL, Sabo DL, Kurs-Lasky M, Smith CG. Effect of early or delayed insertion of tympanostomy tubes for persistent otitis media on developmental outcomes at the age of three years. N Engl J Med 2001 Apr 19;344(16):1179-87. PubMed

Paradise JL. A 15-month-old child with recurrent otitis media. JAMA 2002 Nov 27; 288(20): 2589-98. PubMed

Pichichero ME, Poole MD. Assessing diagnostic accuracy and tympanocentesis skills in the management of otitis media. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2001 Oct; 155(10): 1137-42. PubMed

Pichichero ME. Diagnostic accuracy, tympanocentesis training performance, and antibiotic selection by pediatric residents in management of otitis media. Pediatrics 2002 Dec; 110(6):1064-70. PubMed

Robert JE, Burchinal MR, Medley LP, Zeisel SA, Mundy M, Roush J, Hooper S, Bryant D, Henderson FW. Otitis media, hearing sensitivity, and maternal responsiveness in relation to language during infancy. J Pediatr 1995 Mar; 126(3): 481-9. PubMed

Roberts JE, Burchinal MR, Zeisel SA, Neebe EC, Hooper SR, Roush J, Bryant D, Mundy M, Henderson FW. Otitis media, the caregiving environment, and language and cognitive outcomes at 2 years. Pediatrics 1998 Aug; 102(2 Pt 1):346-54. PubMed

Roberts JE, Burchinal MR, Zeisel SA. Otitis media in early childhood in relation to children's school-age language

and academic skills. Pediatrics 2002 Oct; 110(4):696-706. PubMed

Roberts JE, Rosenfeld RM, Zeisel SA. Otitis media and speech and language: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Pediatrics 2004 Mar; 113(3 Pt 1): e238-48. PubMed

Roberts JE, Zeisel SA, Rosenfeld RM, Reitz P. Metaanalysis of speech and language sequalae. In: Rosenfeld RM, Bluestone CD, editor(s). Evidence based otitis media. Hamilton, Ont. London: B C Decker; 2003. p. 383-99.

Rosenfeld RM, Goldsmith AJ, Madell JR. How accurate is parent rating of hearing for children with otitis media. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1998 Sep; 124(9): 989-92. PubMed

Rosenhall U, Nordin V, Sandstrom M, Ahlsen G, Gillberg C. Autism and hearing loss. J Autism Dev Disord 1999 Oct; 29(5): 349-57. PubMed

Shekelle PG, et al. Diagnosis, natural history, and late effects of otitis media with effusion. Evidence report/technology assessment no. 55. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2003. (AHRQ publication; no. 03-E-23).

Shott SR, Joseph A, Heithaus D. Hearing loss in children with Down syndrome. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2001 Dec 1:61(3):199-205. PubMed

Spigelblatt L, Laine-Ammara G, Pless IB, Guyver A. The use of alternative medicine by children. Pediatrics 1994 Dec; 94(6 Pt 1):811-4. PubMed

Teele DW, Klein JO, Rosner B. Epidemiology of otitis media during the first seven years of life in children in greater Boston: a prospective, cohort study. J Infect Dis 1989 Jul; 160(1):83-94. PubMed

The assessment and management of acute pain in infants, children, and adolescents. Pediatrics 2001 Sep; 108(3): 793-7. PubMed

Whiteman BC, Simpson GB, Compton WC. Relationship of otitis media and language impairment in adolescents with Down syndrome. Ment Retard 1986 Dec; 24(6):353-

	6. <u>PubMed</u>
	Williamson I. Otitis media with effusion. Clin Evid 2002 Jun; (7): 469-76. [28 references] PubMed
SIGN (2003)	Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence
	Levels of Evidence
	1++ - High quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomised controlled trials (RCTs), or RCTs with a very low risk of bias
	1 + - Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias
	1 Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias
	2++ - High quality systematic reviews of case control or cohort studies. High quality case control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relationship is causal
	2+ - Well-conducted case control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding or bias and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal
	2 Case control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding or bias and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal
	3 - Non-analytic studies, e.g., case reports, case series
	4 - Expert opinion
	Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Recommendations
	The grade of recommendation relates to the strength of the evidence on which the recommendation is based. It does not reflect the clinical importance of the recommendation.
	Grade A: At least one meta-analysis, systematic review of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), or randomized controlled trial rated as 1++ and directly applicable to the target population; or

A body of evidence consisting principally of studies rated as 1+, directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results Grade B: A body of evidence including studies rated as 2++, directly applicable to the target population, and demonstrating overall consistency of results; or Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 1++ or 1+ Grade C: A body of evidence including studies rated as 2+, directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results; or Extrapolated evidence from studies rate as 2++ Grade D: Evidence level 3 or 4; or Extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2+ Good Practice Points: Recommended best practice based on the clinical experience of the guideline development group **UMHS** Levels of Evidence (2002)Levels of evidence reflect the best available literature in support of an intervention or test. A. Randomized controlled trials B. Controlled trials, no randomization C. Observational trials D. Opinion of expert panel

GUIDELINE CONTENT COMPARISON

The American Academy of Family Physicians/American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery/American Academy of Pediatrics (AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP), Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (CCHMC), Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), and University of Michigan Health System (UMHS) present recommendations for diagnosis, management, and treatment of OME. All four guidelines provide explicit reasoning behind their judgments, ranking the level of evidence for each major recommendation. CCHMC also offers literature citations to support its major recommendations. UMHS provides the rationale for several of its recommendations in narrative form.

The guidelines differ somewhat in scope. Two of the guidelines, SIGN and UMHS, address diagnosis and management of both AOM and OME. Three of the guidelines address otitis media (OM) in children only, while UMHS addresses OM in both children and adults. AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, CCHMC, and UMHS address the consideration of PE tubes, while SIGN explicitly excludes discussion of this topic. AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP also addresses research needs related to OME.

Areas of Agreement

Diagnosis

Diagnostic Otoscopy

The four guidelines agree that otoscopy should be used to determine if MEE is present and they are in general agreement that pneumatic otoscopy is preferable to simple otoscopy. AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, CCHMC, and UMHS cite research showing that pneumatic otoscopy has higher diagnostic sensitivity and specificity than simple otoscopy. While agreeing that pneumatic otoscopy offers better sensitivity, SIGN makes no formal recommendation concerning which technique to use; it notes that pneumatic otoscopy is not widely used in the primary care setting in the United Kingdom and that, were it to become routinely used, practitioners would require training in its use.

Adjunctive Diagnostic Techniques

All four guidelines agree that tympanometry is a useful adjunct to otoscopy for diagnosing OME. SIGN notes, however, that tympanometry is rarely used in the primary care setting in the United Kingdom, and that the GP will have to depend on history and otoscopy for diagnosing OM.

AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, CCMHC, and UHMS further agree that acoustic reflectometry is a useful adjunctive technique, although CCMHC observes that it is not often used nor readily available in the Cincinnati area. The SIGN guideline does not address use of acoustic reflectometry.

Management

Watchful Waiting

There is general agreement among the guidelines that the majority of OME cases resolve spontaneously within a few weeks. AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP and CCHMC explicitly recommend that OME be managed by watchful waiting for 3 months. SIGN makes the recommendation that children under three years of age who are not at risk for speech, language, development, or behavioral problems can be safely managed with watchful waiting, but does not specify the time period for observation. UMHS observes that most cases of OME resolve within 3 months without therapy. They further note that antibiotic therapy can be deferred for asymptomatic patients and most cases of OME, and that children with documented OME should be followed monthly to document persistence of MEE until clear.

Medication

Antibiotics. The four guidelines are in agreement that antibiotics should not be used to treat most cases of OME, with all observing that the existing research shows short-term but not long-term benefit from the use of antibiotics for routine treatment of OME. AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, CCHMC, and SIGN recommend against the use of antibiotics for OME in otherwise healthy children, though CCHMC does include antibiotic therapy as an option for aggressive individual management of children with OME who are at risk for developmental difficulties. UMHS recommends that antibiotic therapy be deferred for most cases of OME, but also specifies that a single course of high-dose amoxicillin/clavulanate is appropriate for OME that has been present 2 to 3 months.

Other Medications. The four guidelines are in agreement that decongestants and antihistamines should not be used in the management of OME, with AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP and SIGN noting they have not been shown to be beneficial and are associated with potential adverse side effects. All four guidelines recommend against the use of systemic steroids, citing lack of evidence of long-term benefit. Additionally, AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP, SIGN, and UHMS observe there is no evidence that nasal steroids are associated with improved outcomes.

Children at Risk

There is agreement among the guidelines that children at risk for hearing, speech and language, and/or developmental problems should be identified early and managed aggressively, including early referral for hearing, speech, and language assessment and evaluation by an otolaryngologist.

Hearing Testing

The guidelines generally agree in their recommendations concerning the need for hearing testing. For uncomplicated OME, AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP and CCHMC recommend hearing testing when OME persists for 3 months or longer; CCHMC further recommends hearing testing 3 months following initial audiologic evaluation in the child being observed with OME. UMHS recommends hearing testing when uncomplicated OME has been present for 3 to 4 months. Similarly, SIGN recommends audiometry for children under 3 years of age with persistent bilateral OME and mild to moderate hearing loss (<25 dB) who are otherwise healthy (to exclude a more serious degree of hearing loss).

All of the guidelines recommend prompt hearing testing when language delay, learning problems, and/or a significant hearing loss is suspected in a child with OME, regardless of the duration of OME.

PE Tube Insertion

The guidelines agree that early referral to an otolaryngologist is warranted for children at risk for hearing, speech and language, or developmental delays; children with anatomical abnormalities (such as cleft palate, bifid uvula, and Down syndrome); and children with clinical complications of OME. They also agree that PE tube insertion is the surgical intervention of choice, though AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP

also considers adenoidectomy. UMHS specifically recommends that children with a 3- to 4-month history of uncomplicated OME be referred for evaluation for PE tube insertion, while AAFP/AAOHNS/AAP and CCHMC generally recommend surgical evaluation for children with OME lasting 3 or 4 months with hearing loss or other complications. SIGN, while agreeing with the other guidelines concerning the need for early referral of at-risk children to an otolaryngologist, explicitly excludes the topic of PE tubes from its guideline.

Areas of Differences

As discussed above, while all four guidelines recommend against the routine use of antibiotics for OME, both CCHMC and UMHS include exceptions for which antibiotic use may be warranted CCHMC includes antibiotic therapy as an option for aggressive individualized management of the child with OME who is at risk for developmental difficulties. UMHS recommends that antibiotic therapy be deferred for most cases of OME, but it differs from the other guidelines by specifying that a single course of high-dose amoxicillin/clavulanate is appropriate for OME that has been present 2-3 months.

Although not a specific focus of this guideline synthesis, one other important difference between the guidelines included in this synthesis relates to CCHMC's emphasis on the involvement of parents in the discussion and decision of the management options. Likewise, although not a focus of their guideline, AAP also presents recommendations for the involvement of parents in the decision-making process. UMHS and SIGN do not address this specific topic.

There are no other key areas in which the four guidelines differ substantially in their recommendations.

This Synthesis was prepared by ECRI on February 13, 2006. The information was verified by AAP on March 6, 2006, and by CCHMC and UMHS on March 20, 2006.

Internet citation: National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC). Guideline synthesis: Otitis media with effusion. In: National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) [Web site]. Rockville (MD): 2006 Mar. [cited YYYY Mon DD]. Available: http://www.guideline.gov.

© 1998-2006 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 5/1/2006